REMARKS

Upon entry of the foregoing Amendment, claims 27-45 are pending in the application. Claims 1-2, 5-16, 19, and 21-26 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, and claims 27-45 are newly added. Applicant believes that this Amendment does not add new matter. In view of the foregoing Amendment and following Remarks, allowance of all the pending claims is requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-2, 5-16, 19, and 21-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,988,138 to Alcorn et al. ("Alcorn") in view of U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2002/0103882 to Johnston et al. ("Johnston") in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,162,060 to Richard et al. ("Richard"). Although Applicants disagree with the propriety of the rejection, solely for purposes of expediting prosecution, claims 1-2, 5-16, 19, and 21-26 have been cancelled, and claims 27-45 have been newly added to clarify various points of novelty of the claimed invention.

For example, none of the references relied upon, either alone or in combination, disclose, teach, or suggest at least the features of "accessing the course database to determine at least one virtual machine associated with the selected exercise," or "launching the virtual machine associated with the selected exercise, wherein the launched virtual machine generates a user interface for performing the selected exercise," as recited in claim 27, for example.

Further, none of the references relied upon, either alone or in combination, disclose, teach, or suggest at least the feature of "transmitting a view of the user interface to the client system, wherein the user performs the selected exercise by remotely interacting with the virtual machine via the view of the user interface," as recited in claim 27, for example.

Claims 32, 36, and 42 include features similar to those set forth in claim 27. Claims 28-31, 33-35, 37-41, and 43-45 depend from and add features to one of claims 27, 32, 36, and

Application Serial No.: 10/082,248
Attorney Docket No.: 062070-0311777
Reply to Office Action mailed February 2, 2007

42. Thus, these claims are allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed for their respective parent claims, as well as for the further features recited therein.

For example, none of the references relied upon, either alone or in combination, disclose, teach, or suggest at least the feature of "launching a remote display server to handle a session with a viewer application at the client system, the viewer application displaying the view of the user interface to the user, the remote display server refreshing the view in response to the user interacting with the view of the user interface during the session," as recited in claim 31, for example. Claims 35, 40, and 45 include features similar to those set forth in claim 31.

Accordingly, because the references relied upon by the Examiner, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest several features of the claimed invention, claims 27-45 are in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Application Serial No.: 10/082,248
Attorney Docket No.: 062070-0311777
Reply to Office Action mailed February 2, 2007

CONCLUSION

Having addressed each of the foregoing rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Date: May 2, 2007

The same of the sa

20.

Syed Jafar Ali

Registration No. 58,780

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

P.O. Box 10500

McLean, Virginia 22102

Main: 703-770-7900 Direct: 703-770-7540

Fax: 703-770-7901